



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Ninth day of June Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.10186 of 2015

G. PACKIANATHAN

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MELAPALAYAM POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI CITY,
CRIME NO.185/2015

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.KA.RAMAKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.K.V.RAJARAJAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 147,420 and 506(i) of IPC in Crime No.185 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the de facto complainant, the accused 1 to 5 had entered into an agreement to purchase the property for Rs.4,29,00,000/- and they issued a cheque for Rs.2,29,00,000/- and undertook to pay the balance amount at the time of registration of document. When the cheque was not even realised on 13.03.2015, the accused have obtained signature in the sale deed by force and also threatening the de facto complainant that they will not allow to go out of India.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner acted only as mediator and the entire amount was received by accused 1 to 5. Further, the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case with an ulterior motive.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) vehemently opposed to grant of anticipatory bail stating that the petitioner had played fraud in the transaction and he threatened the de facto complainant while obtained the signature in the sale deed without paying the entire sale consideration of Rs.4,29,00,000/-.

5. Considering the overt tact attributed against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.



TO

1 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MELAPALAYAM POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI CITY.

WEB COPY

TS/02.07.2015/2P -3C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10186 of 2015

Date :29/06/2015