



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.06.2015

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH

CRL.OP.(MD)No.10009 of 2015

1.M.Gowtham Senthil Pandian

2.M.Krishnaveni

3.Chitra ... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Dindigul District.

(Crime No.74 of 2006) ..1st Respondent/Complainant

2.G.Vijaya Sri @ Vasanthi ..2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code praying to call for the records in C.C.No.314 of 2009 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul and quash the same.

For Petitioners ... Mr.D.Senthil

For R1 ... Mr.K.Anbarasan

Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 ... Mr.G.Nagalingam

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.314 of 2009 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul.

2. The petitioners are arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 3 in C.C.No.314 of 2009 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul District, for the alleged offences under Sections 498(A) and 406 of I.P.C and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3.When the matter is taken up for hearing, the parties namely, the petitioners and the defacto complainant are present before this Court. Both parties submitted that the matter has been settled. The presence of the parties is recorded.

4. A joint compromise memo has been filed, containing the signature of the petitioners and the second respondent. The same has been signed by the learned counsel on both sides, wherein it has been stated that the petitioners are the in-laws of the second respondent and it is further stated that the dispute has been

resolved and the first petitioner and the second respondent are living together along with their child.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the State and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent. This Court has also perused the compromise memo.

6. Considering the submissions made and after going through the relevant documents and after looking at the nature of offences alleged against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings as no useful purpose would be served by continuing the trial any longer. Accordingly, the proceedings in C.C.No.314 of 2009 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul District, is quashed. The compromise memo shall form part of record. This petition is ordered.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Dindigul District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+One cc o Mr.D.Senthil, Advocate, SR.No.28576

pm

RL/5 c- 19/6/2015

CRL.OP.(MD)No.10009 of 2015

08.06.2015