

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.09.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.15532 of 2002

M. PONNUSAMY

[PETITIONER]

Vs

1 THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF
INCOME TAX
SPL INVESTIGATION CIRCLE ERODE

2 THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX
CHENNAI

3 THE APPELLATE TAXATION TRIBUNAL
MADRAS BENCH C.

[RESPONDENTS]

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of certiorari to call for the records and quash the order passed in IT (SS) No.34 (MADS) 2001 for the Block period 1.4.1985 to 29.9.95 o the file of the Appellate Tribunal, Chennai Bench "C" dated 27.11.2001 and consequently set aside the demand notice made by the office of the Tax Recovery Officer Range, I, Erode 638 001 dated 21.02.2002.

For Petitioner : No appearance

O R D E R

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 16.09.2015, there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption "for dismissal" on 30.09.2015. Even to day, though the matter is listed under the caption "for dismissal", there is no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(J)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rg

To

1 THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF
INCOME TAX
SPL INVESTIGATION CIRCLE ERODE

2 THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX
CHENNAI


3 THE APPELLATE TAXATION TRIBUNAL
MADRAS BENCH C.

+1cc to Mr.K. Natarajan, Advocate, S.R.No.53688

+1cc to Mr.T. Pramodkumar, Advocate, S.R.No.53149

AD(CO)
EU(12/10/2015)

W.P.No.15532 of 2002



WEB COPY