

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated: 27.10.2015

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice M.Jaichandren

Writ Petition No.17993 of 2012

Tamil Nadu Electricity Board
Retired Pensioner's Welfare Organisation,
rep by its General Secretary,
Chennai.

.. Petitioner

vs.

1.Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
rep. by its Chairman, Chennai-2

2.The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Chennai-2

3.The Chief Engineer/Personnel,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Chennai-2

4.The Superintendent Engineer,
Investigation Circle,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Chennai-2

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the representation dated 15.6.2009 given by the petitioner and to recognize them as the workers associated with the Pykara Hydroelectric project and to grant them the incentives as awarded to the workers with arrears from the date they were eligible respectively together with interest.

For petitioner : M/s.V.Pushpa
For respondents : M/s.R.Varalakshmi

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties concerned.

2. At this stage of the hearing of the Writ Petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents had submitted that the petitioner-Organisation may be directed to give a fresh representation to the 3rd respondent, with regard to their claims. The learned counsel had also submitted that such representation would be considered by the 3rd respondent and appropriate orders would be passed thereon, as expeditiously as possible.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has no objection for such an order being passed by this Court.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties concerned, the petitioner-Organisation is directed to give a fresh representation to the 3rd respondent, with regard to their claims, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such representation, the 3rd respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders thereon, within a period of eight weeks thereafter, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, and the other persons concerned, if any. However, it is made clear that this Court, by this order, has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of, with the above directions. No costs. M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2012 are closed.

-Sd/-

Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

1.Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
rep. by its Chairman, Chennai-2

2.The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Chennai-2


3.The Chief Engineer/Personnel,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Chennai-2

4.The Superintendent Engineer,
Investigation Circle,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Chennai-2

+1 cc to Mr.V.Pushpa Advocate sr.58402

WP 17993 of 2012

ctk(co)
aa06/11/2015



WEB COPY