

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.01.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.PAUL VASANTHAKUMAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.SHIVAKUMAR

W.P.No.16328 of 2013

P.Annapooranam ... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Accounts Officer (Pension 2)
Office of the Principal Accountant General
(Accounts & Entitlements),
Tamil Nadu,
Chennai-600 018.

2. The Subordinate Judge,
Bhavani,
Erode District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records relating to the order made in Pen2/V/7897/Urg/2012-13 dated 29.01.2013 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the first respondent to pay five percent personal pay to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Munusamy

For Respondents : Mr.V.Vijay Shankar for R1
Mr.S.Haja Mohideen Gisti for R2

WEB COPY
ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.PAUL VASANTHAKUMAR, J.)

Heard Mr.C.Munusamy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.V.Vijay Shankar, learned counsel appearing for the 1st respondent and Mr.S.Haja Mohideen Gisti, learned counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent.

2. This writ petition is filed challenging the order of the 1st respondent dated 29.1.2013 stating that 5% personal pay from 1.8.1992 is not eligible to the Copyist/Examiner.

3. During the pendency of the writ petition, fresh proposal was sent by the Registrar General of this Court to the Government and by letter dated 17.4.2014 in Letter No.26535/PC/2013-1, the Government extended 5% personal pay to all the individuals, who were holding the post of Reader, Examiner and Copyist in the Judicial Department as on 01.8.1992 / 01.9.1998.

4. The case of the petitioner was reviewed in the light of the said Government letter dated 17.4.2014 and the pensionary benefits of the petitioner have been revised, taking into account 5% personal pay granted from 01.8.1992 and necessary authorization for the revised benefits have also been issued on 17.12.2014.

5. In the light of the subsequent development, the prayer sought for in the writ petition has become infructuous. The writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

bbr

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar()

Dt:9/1/2015

True Copy

Sub-Assitant Registrar

To

1. The Accounts Officer (Pension 2)
Office of the Principal Accountant General
(Accounts & Entitlements),
Tamil Nadu,
Chennai-600 018.

2. The Subordinate Judge,
Bhavani,
Erode District.

+ 1 cc to M/s.Haja Mohideen Gisthi, Advocate, SR 341

rk(co)
prk20/1

W.P.No.16328 of 2013