

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.08.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.21018 of 2002

K.G.Sellavel

... Petitioner

-Versus-

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. By its Secretary to Government,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector,
Erode District, Erode.

3.The Assistant Commissioner,
(Excise), Erode.

...Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the relief of issuance of Writ of Certiorari calling for the records of the 3rd respondent relating to the proceedings bearing Na.Ka.nO.86741/2001/j5 DATED 09.03.2002 and to quash the above said proceedings.

For petitioner : Mr.N.Manokaran

For respondents : Mr.M.S.Ramesh, AGP

ORDER

Challenging the show cause notice issued by the 3rd respondent calling upon the petitioner to explain as to why penalty should not be imposed on him for having caused revenue loss to the Government by violating the licence conditions, the petitioner is now before this court with this writ petition.

2. When the writ petition came up for final hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the relief sought for in the writ petition has now become infructuous and, therefore, the writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

3. In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar (CSIV)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

kmk
To

- 1.The Secretary to Government, Prohibition and Excise
Department, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
 - 2.The District Collector, Erode District, Erode.
 - 3.The Assistant Commissioner, (Excise), Erode.
- +1 cc to Mr.N.Manoharan Advocate sr.44256/15

W.P.No.21018 of 2002

ak(co)
aa03/09/2015



WEB COPY