

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 10.03.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM

WP.No.6612/2015 & MP.No.1/2015

E.Muthukumar

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
2. The Tahsildar
Chengalpet Taluk, Chengalpet.
3. The Special Tahsildar
Natham Nilavari Thittam
Chengalpet Alagu-II,
Thiruporur.

... Respondents

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a writ of mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to pass a considered order on the application given by the petitioner on 01.02.2015 and consequential relief by way of direction to issue a patta in favour of the petitioner namely Mr.E.Muthukumar, pertaining to petitioner's property situated at Kancheepuram District, Chengalpet Taluk, Chengalpet Registration District, Thiruporur Sub Registration District, Perumattunallur panchayat Union no.16, Pandoor village, Gramma Natham, Old Survey No.87, New Survey No.87/17, measuring an extent of 1764 sq.ft. cents.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Ramachandran

For Respondents : Mr.S.Gunasekaran, GA

ORDER

Heard Mr.T.Ramachandran, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner ; and Mr.S.Gunasekaran, learned Government Advocate accepting notice for the respondents and with their consent, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage itself.

2.The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction upon the 3rd respondent to consider his representation dated 01.02.2015 for grant of patta. The petitioner would state that he has given an application on 01.02.2015, followed by a reminder dated 06.03.2015, sent by Speed Post on 06.03.2015. It is seen that the petitioner has filed this writ petition hardly within one week from the date of sending the reminder on 06.03.2015. Insofar as the first representation dated 01.02.2015, there is no acknowledgment produced or there is no proof to show that it was sent. Therefore, the prayer as sought for by the petitioner, cannot be granted. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

3.However, it is open to the petitioner to pursue his application/representation before the authorities concerned. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

AP

To

1. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
2. The Tahsildar
Chengalpet Taluk, Chengalpet.
3. The Special Tahsildar
Natham Nilavari Thittam
Chengalpet Alagu-II,
Thiruporur.

+1cc to Mr.T.Ramachandran, Advocate, S.R.No.13237
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.13585

ACA(CO)
CA(18/03/2015)

WP.No.6612/2015