

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.11.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM

W.P.Nos. 35052 to 35054 of 2015

B.Chandrasekaran. ...Petitioner in W.P. No. 35052 of 2015.
P.Govindan. ...Petitioner in W.P. No. 35053 of 2015.
K.A.Kuppusamy. ...Petitioner in W.P. No. 35054 of 2015.

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
Vazhapadi Police Station,
Salem District.

...Respondent in ***WPs 35052 & 35053 of 2015**

**The Inspector of Police,
Karunkalpalayam Police Station,
Erode District. ...Respondent in W.P.35054 of 2015**

These petitions are filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent to give permission to conduct the cultural programme scheduled to be held on 12.11.2015 & 10.11.2015 Singapuram Nadar Street, Sri Madurai Veeran Koil Vizha by considering the representation dated 26.10.2015 & 27.10.2015.

WP.No.35054/15:

Writ petition praying to writ of Mandamus directing the respondent authority to give permission to conduct the cultural programme at Karunkalpalayam Arulmigu Chinamari amman Thirukoil Thiruvizha on 09.12.2015 by the petitioner representation dated 27.10.2015.

For Petitioners in all Writ Petitions : Mr.R.P.Murugan Raja
For Respondent in all Writ Petitions : Mr.A.Kumar,
Special Addl. Government Pleader

ORDER

Heard Mr.R.P.Murugan Raja, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.A.Kumar, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent and with the consent of either side, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage.

2. The petitioners have filed theses writ petitions for a writ of mandamus to direct the respondent to give permission to conduct the cultural programme scheduled to be held on 12.11.2015 at Singapuram Nadar Street, Sri Madurai Veeran Koil Vizha by considering the representation dated 26.10.2015.

3. This Court is not inclined to grant any positive direction in this regard, since granting such permission for conducting a cultural programme may result in a law and order situation and it is for the law enforcing agency to take note of the ground realities and act accordingly. Hence, without granting the relief sought for by the petitioner, there will be a direction to the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation on merits and in accordance with law, well before the date of programme.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

sd/
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR(CO)
Dated : 03.11.2015

***Corrected as per order in
W.P.No.35052 to 35054 of 2015
dated : 06.11.2015
Sd/- Assistant Registrar
Dated : 06.11.2015**

/TRUE COPY/

SUB-ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

msk/rms

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Vazhapadi Police Station,
Salem District.

To be substituted to the
order already despatched on
03.11.2015

**2.The Inspector of Police,
Karunkalpalayam Police Station,
Erode District.**

+1 CC to MR. R.P.Murugan Raja Advocate. SR.NO. 59973
+1 CC to Govt.Pleader. SR.NO. 60110

+2ccs to Mr.Muruganraja, Advocate Sr. 59971, 59972 [4/11/2015]

W.P.No.35052 to 35054 of 2015

CO-MG
JD 03/11/2015

SCD {CO}
PSI 06.11.2015



WEB COPY