

M.P.No.4 of 2015 in W.P.NO.3493 OF 2015**T.S.SIVAGNANAM,J.,**

Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

2.This application has been filed at the instance of the 6th respondent to clarify the order in respect of the observation made in Paragraph No.12 of the order dated 11.02.2015, which reads as follows:-

"...12. There is allegation that the key of the premises and the cell phone were seized by the 3rd respondent and later, petitioner's cell phone alone has been returned. If key is still retained by the 3rd respondent, he is well advised to return the key forthwith to the petitioner, so as to not to precipitate the matter any further.."

3.Admittedly, the observation itself is not directed against the 6th respondent. Further the observation made in paragraph No.12 is based on the allegation, which was presented to the Court and based on such allegation, this Court has made certain observation, therefore, there is nothing to be clarified in this regard and hence the petition for clarification is dismissed.

24.03.2015