

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :18.12.2015

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

W.P.No.33000 OF 2015

G.Subramani,
S/o Govindasamy,
Retired Road Inspector,
Door No.2/655 Sholighur Road,
R.K.Pet Post,
Tiruvallur District. Petitioner

vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Government,
Fort St. George,
Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Tiruvallur.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Union Office,
R.K. Pet, Block,
Tiruvallur District.

4.K.Loganathan Muthali
Road Inspector(Retired),
Vanniar Street,
R.K.Pet Post,
Pallipattu Taluk,
Tiruvallur District. Respondents

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in Na.Ka.No.1954/2015/A9, dated 25.09.2015 in respect of the 4th respondent and to quash the same and to direct the 3rd respondent to appoint the petitioner as godown in charge based on the representation dated 20.07.2015 and 10.08.2015.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Murugendran
For Respondents : Mr.S.Gunasekaran
Government Advocate
for R1 to R3

O R D E R

By consent of both sides, the main writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

2. The prayer in this writ petition is to call for the records relating to the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in Na.Ka.No.1954/2015/A9, dated 25.09.2015 in respect of the 4th respondent and to quash the same and also to direct the 3rd respondent to appoint the petitioner as godown in charge, based on the representation dated 20.07.2015 and 10.08.2015.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the writ petition has been filed challenging the appointment of the 4th respondent. However, during the pendency of the writ petition, he has voluntarily retired from service and hence, the prayer made in the petition has become infructuous. However, the 3rd respondent may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 20.07.2015.

4.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 3.

5.Though the petitioner prayed for a larger prayer, this Court in the light of the above facts and circumstances, directs the 3rd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 20.07.2015 and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and also communicate the decision taken to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is also closed.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Additional Chief Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Government,
Fort St. George,
Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Tiruvallur.


3.The Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Union Office,
R.K. Pet, Block,
Tiruvallur District.

+1 cc to Mr.S.Gunasekaran Advocate sr.68678

+1 cc to Mr.G.Murugendran Advocate sr.68605

W.P.No.33000 of 2015

aa21/12/2015



WEB COPY