

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.8.2015

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

Writ Petition No.31112 of 2012

K.P.DANDHAPANI

[PETITIONER]

Vs

- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
ERODE DISTRICT
COLLECTORATE
ERODE TOWN AND DISTRICT.
- 2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
(SUB-DIVISIONAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE)
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM,
ERODE DISTRICT.
- 3 THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM DIVISION
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM
ERODE DISTRICT.
- 4 THE TAHSILDAR
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM TALUK
TALUK OFFICE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM
ERODE DISTRICT.
- 5 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM POLICE STATION
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM ERODE DISTRICT.
- 6 KRISHNASWAMY
S/O.AYYAVU NADAR
PAPPATHI KORAIMEDU
KALINGIAM 'B' VILLAGE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM TALUK
ERODE DISTRICT.

7 PALANIAMMAL
W/O.KRISHNASWAMY
PAPPATHI KORAIMEDU
KALINGIAM 'B' VILLAGE
GOBICHETTYPALAYAM TALUK
ERODE DISTRICT.

8 ARUMUGAM
S/O.KITTANADAR
PAPPATHI KORAIMEDU
KALINGIAM B VILLAGE
GOBICHETTYPALAYAM TALUK
ERODE DISTRICT.

9 HARIPUTHRAN
S/O.KITTANADAR
PAPPATHI KORAIMEDU
KALINGIAM B VILLAGE
GOBICHETTYPALAYAM TALUK
ERODE DISTRICT.
(R6 TO R9 IMPEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 04/12/12
BY NPVJ IN MP.2/12 IN WP.31112/12) [RESPONDENTS]

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 4th respondent herein to forthwith implement the orders of the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.7221/2012 A3 dated 16.10.2012 in letter and spirit.

For petitioner : Mr.J.Fraklin
For respondents : Mr.P.Chinnadurai
Government Advocate for R1 to R5
Mr.S.A.Shanmugam for R6 to R9

सत्यमेव जयते
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsels appearing for the parties concerned.

2. At this stage of the hearing of the writ petition, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 6 to 9 had submitted that an original suit had been filed, in O.S.No.274 of 2012, on the file of the District Munsif Court, Gobichettipalayam. It has also been submitted that the respondents 6 to 9 had filed a review petition before the District Collector, Erode, the first respondent herein, challenging the order of the second respondent, dated 16.10.2012.

3. In such circumstances, this Court finds it appropriate to direct the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on the review petition filed by the respondents 6 to 9, dated 22.10.2012, if it has not been disposed of till date, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the respondents 6 to 9, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter. The Writ Petition is disposed of, with the above directions. No costs. Connected M.P.No.1 of 2012 is closed.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar (CSIV)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To:


- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
ERODE DISTRICT
COLLECTORATE
ERODE TOWN AND DISTRICT.
- 2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
(SUB-DIVISIONAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE)
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM,
ERODE DISTRICT.
- 3 THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM DIVISION
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM
ERODE DISTRICT.
- 4 THE TAHSILDAR
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM TALUK
TALUK OFFICE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM
ERODE DISTRICT.
- 5 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM POLICE STATION
GOBICHETTIPALAYAM ERODE DISTRICT.

+1 cc to Mr.Franklin, Counsel for the Petitioner
sr.42055/15

+1 cc to the Government pleader sr.42405/15

Writ Petition No.31112 of 2012

vgl(co)
aa03/09/2015



WEB COPY