

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.10.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.20919 of 2012
and
M.P.No.1 of 2012

V.Arulmozhi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
(PD Section),
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
2. Jairudeen,
Block Development Officer,
Bargur Panchayat Union,
Krishnagiri District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records pertaining to the charge memo dated 7.3.2012 bearing ROC.No.5727/2011 K1 of the first respondent and quash the same.

For petitioner : Ms.T.Hemalatha

For 1st respondent : Mr.R.Ravichandran
Additional Government Pleader

For 2nd respondent : Mr.P.S.Sivashannmmugasundaram

ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner had submitted that the writ petition has become infructuous and the same may be dismissed, as infructuous. He had also made an endorsement to that effect.

2. In view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Connected M.P.No.1 of 2012 is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

asvm


To

The District Collector,
(PD Section),
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

+1cc to M/s.V.Suthakar, Advocate, S.R.No.56678

W.P.No.20919 of 2012
and
M.P.No.1 of 2012

MP (CO)
CA(06/11/2015)



WEB COPY