

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23.11.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH

Cr1.O.P.No.28148 of 2015

P.Manju

.. Petitioner

..vs..

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Nagapattinam,
Nagapattinam District.

2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kariyapattinam Police Station,
Vedaranyama Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

..Respondents

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.,
to direct the respondent to register the First Information
Report based on the complaint given by the petitioner dated
07.10.2015 with the 2nd respondent police vide C.S.R.No.216 of
2015 within a time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Kasinatha Bharathi

For Respondent : Mr.Emalias
Additional Public Prosecutor

WEB COPY
O R D E R

The present petition has been filed seeking a direction to
the respondent to register First Information Report based on
the complaint given by the petitioner dated 07.10.2015 with the
2nd respondent police vide C.S.R.No.216 of 2015 within a time
stipulated by this Court.

2. The case of the petitioner is that on 20.09.2015, the
petitioner is a resident of "Yesu Sabai." The petitioner has

established "Yesu Sabai" and created a trust two years back. Near by the said "Yesu Sabai", there is no residence. Every Sundays, they used to conduct prayer meetings without causing any disturbance to the local public. On 20.09.2015, while they were performing prayer, one Sulli Maniyan, son of Ramasamy, Palani Nathan, son of Sivasubramanian, Karthy @ Karthikeyan, son of Kannan, came to the prayer meeting at about 12.00 p.m and shouted at the petitioner. They were threatening them to do away, if further prayer meeting was conducted. Similarly, on 27.09.2015, at about 12.30 hours, one Subramaniam and his gangsters entered the prayer hall and attacked the petitioner her husband and took away prayer books. Hence, the petitioner lodged a complaint. Though CSR number has been assigned to the complaint, till date, no action has been taken. Hence, the petitioner has come up with the present petition.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4. Considering the submissions made on either side, this Court is of the opinion that it is appropriate to direct the second respondent to conduct an enquiry on the complaint dated 07.10.2015 given by the petitioner, if enquiry was not conducted so far, and proceed further in accordance with law, as early as possible.

sd/
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (CCC)

/TRUE COPY/

SUB-ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

kua

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Nagapattinam,
Nagapattinam District.


2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kariyapattinam Police Station,
Vedaranyama Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court, Chennai.

+1 CC to MR.Kasinatha Bharathi Advocate. SR.NO.63398

CrI.O.P.No.28148 of 2015

CO-SAI
JD 14/12/2015



WEB COPY