

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18.08.2015

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.SASIDHARAN

W.P. No.25635 of 2015 and M.P. Nos.1 - 3 of 2015

P. Prasanna

Petitioner

Vs.

1 The District Collector
Thiruvallur District
Thiruvallur

2 The Tahsildar
Madhavaram Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.

Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relevant to the notice issued under Section 7 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 dated 01.07.2015 and consequential notice issued under Section 6 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 dated 20.07.2015 by the second respondent affixed on the door of the petitioner without even mentioning the name of the occupant name, namely the petitioner and quash the same as illegal, improper, unreasonable, arbitrary against the natural justice and thereby, direct the second respondent to grant patta in respect of the residential property situated in S.No.1, Plot No.12, Kurinchi Nagar, Puththagaram Village, Madhavaram Taluk, Thiruvallur District to an extent of 600 sq ft of land in the name of petitioner herein.

For petitioner

Mr. M.V. Muralidaran

For respondents

Mr. P.S.Sivashanmugasundaram,
Spl. Government Pleader

ORDER

(made by SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.)

Mr. P.S.Sivashanmugasundaram, learned Special Government Pleader, accepts notice for the respondents. With the consent of the learned counsel on either side, the writ petition is taken up for final

disposal, at the admission stage itself.

2 The petitioner has come up with the instant writ petition, assailing the legality and validity of the notices dated 01.07.2015 and 20.07.2015 issued by the second respondent under Sections 7 and 6 respectively of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 (for short "the Act").

3 It is beyond cavil that before issuance of notice under Section 6 of the Act, the petitioner was afforded an opportunity of hearing by notice dated 01.07.2015 issued under Section 7 of the Act. It is further brought to our notice that after the notice under Section 6 of the Act was issued, the petitioner has preferred an appeal under Section 10 of the Act on 22.07.2015 and the same is pending consideration before the first respondent.

4 Given the fact situation, as afore-stated, no adjudication is required at this stage. However, the first respondent is directed to consider the petitioner's pending appeal within the statutory period. In the meantime, if an application seeking interim relief is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and decided within a period of two weeks from today. It is made clear that for a period of two weeks, status-quo, as obtained today, in respect of the property in question, shall be maintained.

5 The petitioner has further sought a direction to the second respondent to consider her case for grant of patta in respect of her residential property. According to the petitioner, the land in question is a Lake Poramboke and as such, the State authorities are competent to consider her request for grant of patta as per G.O.Ms.Nos.854 and 579, Revenue Department dated 30.12.2006 and 03.10.2008 respectively.

6 At this stage, we do not wish to make any observation qua for grant of patta. However, if the petitioner has addressed any representation in this regard and the same is pending consideration, the same may be considered independent of the instant proceedings, strictly in accordance with law and on its own merits.

7 The writ petition stands disposed of with the above directions and observations. Costs made easy. Connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub Assistant Registrar

ra

To


1 The District Collector
Thiruvallur District
Thiruvallur

2 The Tahsildar
Madhavaram Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.

1 cc to Mr.M.V. Muralidaran , Advocate Sr.No.43699

bvr (co)
pmk.4.9.2015

W.P. No.25635 of 2015



WEB COPY