

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.03.2015

CORAM

The Hon'ble MR.SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
The Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH

O.S.A.Nos.314 and 315 of 2011
and M.P.Nos.3 of 2014 and 1 of 2015

Dr.K.R.Krishna ... Appellant in both appeals

-vs-

M.Sindhuja ... Respondent in both appeals

Appeals filed under Order 36 Rule 1 of O.S. Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 01.08.2011 in O.A.Nos.2261 and 2262 of 2011 in O.P.No.285 of 2011 on the file of original side of this Court.

For Appellant : Mrs.Geeta Ramaseshan

For Respondents : Mr.A.K.Sriram
for M/s.A.S.Kailasam Associates.

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by The Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Learned counsel for parties state that the parties have come to an agreed arrangement for interim custody of the minor boy, Yuvan Rajkrishna, aged about 9 years and 10 months, for the first half of the holidays from 01.04.2015 to 02.05.2015. The child is in Trichy and thus, this interim custody will be given to the father / appellant for the said period of time.

2.Learned counsel for the appellant states that the appeals are directed against the interim arrangement and have become infructuous and thus, the appeals and all pending miscellaneous petitions be disposed of in terms of the agreed interim arrangement for the summer vacation.

3.Appeals are, accordingly, disposed of, by consent of parties, in the aforesaid terms. No costs. Consequently, M.P.Nos.3 of 2014 and 1 of 2015 stand closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

sra Sub Assistant Registrar


To

The Sub Assistant Registrar,
Original Side, Madras High Court,
Chennai.

+1cc to M/s.Geeta Ramaseshan, Advocate, S.R.No.18145

O.S.A.Nos.314 and 315
of 2011

ALA(CO)
CA(07/04/2015)



WEB COPY