

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE: 20.07.2015


CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

W.P.No.21136 of 2015

and

M.P.Nos.1 & 2 of 2015

- 
1. S.Naresh
rep. by Power Agent & Father
Mr.S.Sekhar
- 2 S.Kamesh Petitioners
- Versus
- 1 District Revenue Officer
Kancheepuram
- 2 Revenue Divisional Officer
Chengalpet
- 3 The Tahsildhar
Thiruporur Kancheepuram District
- 4 N.Veerappan Respondents

Prayer: This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a writ of mandamus, forbearing the 3rd respondent from giving effect to the order of 2nd respondent bearing Na.Ka.AA. 4288/2014 AA dated 8.10.2014 till the disposal of the petitioner Review Petition No.27450/ 2014 N4 dated 12.11.2014

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Elango

For Respondents : Mr.V.Subbiah (for R1 to R3)
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

By consent, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioners are the revision petitioners 11 and 12 before the 1st respondent and the revision petitioners numbering 15

made a challenge to the order dated 08.10.2014, passed by the 2nd respondent. The 2nd respondent vide order dated 08.10.2014 has cancelled the patta and the revision petitioners challenging the legality of the said order, has filed the above said revision before the 1st respondent and pending disposal of the same, has also prayed for stay of the operation of the above said order.

3. Mr.K.Elango, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to the typed set of documents and would submit that the 3rd respondent inspite of the pendency of the revision petition, is taking emergent steps to implement the order dated 08.10.2014 passed by the 2nd respondent and though, the petitioners herein as well as the other revision petitioners had prayed for stay of the said order, pending disposal of the said revision, the 1st respondent is neither passing the order in the petition for stay nor passing final orders in the main revision petition and hence, prays for appropriate orders.

4. This Court, heard the submissions of Mr.V.Subbiah, learned Special Government Pleader, who accepts notice on behalf of respondent 1 to 3.

5. This Court in the light of the above facts and circumstances, directs the 1st respondent, either to dispose of the petition for stay filed by the revision petitioners within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order or dispose of the main revision petition itself, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and in the interregnum, directs all the revision petitioners as well as the respondents to maintain status-quo, as it exists today. It is also made clear that till the disposal of the revision petition, the revision petitioners as well as the 4th respondent shall not create any third party rights in respect of the properties in question.

6. The writ petition is disposed of, accordingly. No Costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

1 District Revenue Officer
Kancheepuram

2 Revenue Divisional Officer
Chengalpet

3 The Tahsildhar
Thiruporur Kancheepuram District

+1cc to The Government Pleader sr.no.36694
+1cc to Mr.K.Elango, Advocate sr.no.36568

W.P.No.21136 of 2015

rj[col
srg 29.07



WEB COPY