

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.9.2015

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
and
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Writ Petition No.21130 of 2015 and M.P.No.1 of 2015

V.Udayasuriyan @
Surya Vetrikondan.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry
rep. by its Secretary, High Court Campus,
Chennai 600 104.

2. The Bar Council of India
rep. by its Secretary,
21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
Near Bal Bhawan, New Delhi- 110 002.

.. Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to either accept the petitioner's application for All India Bar Examination vide application Form No. 509277 or reject the same with valid reasons.

For Petitioner : Mrs.R.Revathy for
M/s. Black N Red Law Associates
For Respondent-1 : Mr.S.Y.Masood,
Standing Counsel
For Respondent-2 : S.R.Rajagopalan

O R D E R

(Made by V.Ramasubramanian, J)

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition seeking the issue of a writ of mandamus to direct the Bar Council either to accept his application or to reject his application for the All India Bar Examination conducted in the year 2013.

2. Heard Mrs.R.Revathy, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.S.Y.Masood, learned Standing Counsel for the first respondent and Mr.S.R.Rajagopalan, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3. The petitioner got enrolled in the year 2011. He was expected to clear the All India Bar Examination within two years. It appears that he applied for the All India Bar Examination conducted in the year 2013 vide Application No.509277. Contending that he was not even liable to take the examination and also contending that as a measure of concession to the Bar Council he was prepared to write the examination, he has come up with the above writ petition.

4. But the prayer with which the writ petitioner has come up has already become infructuous. The All India Bar Examination 2013 is already over. It appears that the writ petitioner after having applied, failed to download the hall ticket and appear for the examination. Since the petitioner failed to appear for the examination, the second part of the prayer asking for a mandamus at least to direct the respondent to reject his application has been satisfied. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. There will be no order as to costs. Consequently, M.P.No.1 of 2015 is dismissed.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar(J)
dt:08/10/2015

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

gr.

To

1.The Secretary
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry
Madras High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104.

2.The Secretary Bar Council of India
21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
Near Bal Bhawan, New Delhi- 110 002.
+1 cc to M/S.Black N Red, Law associates sr.52524
+1 cc to Mr.S.Y.Massod, Advocate sr.52680
+1 cc to Mr.S.R.Rajagopal Advocate sr.52690

W.P.No.21130 of 2015.

ca(co)
aa08/10/2015