

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:26.03.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE K.B.K.VASUKI

C. S. No. 297 of 2014
and
O.A. Nos. 348 & 349 of 2014

1. Mrs. Sitalakshmi Murti

2. Mrs. Raji Subbarayan ... Plaintiffs

Vs.

Mrs. Lalita Krishnamurthy ... Defendant

The Civil suit filed under Order IV Rule 1 of the Madras High Court Original Side Rules.

For Plaintiffs : Mr. V. Anand

For Defendant : Mr.T.K. Ramkumar
for M/s. Ram & Rajan Associates

JUDGMENT

The Plaintiffs 1 and 2 are not present but represented by learned counsel on record.

2. This Court on the last hearing received the Joint Compromise Memo entered into between the parties, along with Mediation report dated 24.12.2014. The Joint Compromise Memo was signed by the second plaintiff daughter, for herself and on behalf of the

first plaintiff mother, in the capacity of Power Agent. However, no Power of Attorney was available for perusal of this Court and this Court directed the parties to get valid authorisation from the first plaintiff, in favour of the second plaintiff daughter, to represent her in the compromise talk. Accordingly, the authorisation was obtained from the first plaintiff who has been presently residing in United States. The signature of the mother is also obtained in the Joint Compromise Memo in approval of the mediation, in the presence of Notary Public in the State of Ohio.

3. In view of the same, the Joint Compromise is accepted and recorded, in the presence of learned counsel on record for the plaintiffs and the defendant and her learned counsel on record. The suit is, in terms of the Joint Compromise entered between the parties, disposed of. The Joint Compromise Memo shall form part of the decree in the Suit. Consequently, the connected Original Applications are closed. Registry is directed to refund the entire court fee to the learned counsel for the plaintiffs.

26.03.2015

avr

K.B.K.VASUKI,J.

avr

C. S. No. 297 of 2014
and
O.A. Nos. 348 & 349 of 2014

26.03.2015