



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.09.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE B.RAJENDRAN

Crl.R.C.No.15 of 2010

and

M.P.No.1 of 2010

1.Angoori

2.Ravi @ Ravindersingh

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

State, represented by
Inspector of Police,
Periyapalayam Police Station,
Periyapalayam,
Thiruvallur District.

... Respondent

Prayer: Revision has been filed under Sections 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C against the order dated 20.11.2009 in Crl.M.P.No.88 of 2009 in S.C.No.426 of 2008 passed by the learned Additional District Sessions Judge (FTC-I), Chennai.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Sankarasubbu

For Respondent : Mr.V.Arul, Govt Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel for the revision petitioners submitted that the revision petition has become infructuous and to that effect he has also made an endorsement in the revision.

2.Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the revision petitioners, the Criminal Revision case is closed as infructuous. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is also closed.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CS II)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar



SSV
To

1.The Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC-I),
Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Periyapalayam Police Station,
Periyapalayam,
Thiruvallur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Madras.

Crl.R.C.No.15 of 2010
and
M.P.No.1 of 2010

sai[co]
srg 6.10.2015