

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.07.2015

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.VENUGOPAL

W.P. Nos.17015 of 2015

Vairamani

Petitioner

Vs.

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer
Dharmapuri
Dharmapuri District

2 The Director of Tribal Welfare
Chennai 600 005

Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to consider the community status of the petitioner on the basis of the cultural report on "Kurumans" sent by the second respondent vide his letter dated 18.02.2015 to the first respondent and take a decision in accordance with law immediately.

For petitioner

Mr. M. Radhakrishnan

For respondents

Mr. R. Rajeswaran

Special Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.)

With the consent of the learned counsel on either side, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal.

2 The petitioner, claiming to be a member of Kurumans (ST) community, seeks a direction to the first respondent to consider his community status, on the basis of the cultural report on Kurumans sent by the second respondent vide his letter dated 18.02.2015 to the first respondent.

3 It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the first respondent has sent a communication to the Tahsildar, Palacode, on May 07, 2012 to conduct an enquiry and submit a report. What had happened thereafter, i.e., whether enquiry report was submitted and what was the enquiry is not within the knowledge of the

petitioner. In the meantime, the second respondent had circulated a Cultural Report on Kurumans community to all the Revenue Divisional Officers, which includes the petitioner as well. Hence, the instant writ petition for the afore-stated relief.

4 A Division Bench of this Court, by order dated 13.02.2014 passed in W.P. No.11977 of 2012, had indicated that the cultural report on Kurumans is required to be considered while the application of an applicant seeking issuance of community certificate is examined.

5 Needless to state that the enquiry has to be conducted keeping in mind, the guidelines laid down by the Supreme Court in Kumari Madhuri Patil Vs. Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development & Others¹ in respect of anthropological and ethnological traits, deity, rituals, customs, mode of marriage, death ceremonies, method of burial of dead bodies, etc., by the caste or tribe or tribal community concerned, particularly, in case of Scheduled Tribe. It is also pertinent to state that in Kumari Madhuri Patil (supra), the Supreme Court has fixed time schedule of six months for consideration and issuance of community certificate.

6 In the case on hand, concededly, the first respondent has addressed a letter to the Tahsildar to conduct an enquiry as to the community status of the petitioner. But, till date, as pleaded by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has not received any communication in respect of issuance of community certificate.

7 Such being the fact situation, the first respondent is directed to examine the entire issue at the earliest, keeping in mind, the decision of the Supreme Court in Kumari Madhurai Patil (supra) and the Cultural Report on Kurumans sent by the second respondent to the first respondent, vide his letter dated 18.02.2015 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8 The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction. Costs made easy.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub Assistant Registrar

1 (1994) 6 SCC 241

To


1 The Revenue Divisional Officer
Dharmapuri
Dharmapuri District

2 The Director of Tribal Welfare
Chennai 600 005

+1 cc to Mr.M.Radhakrishnan, Advocate,SR.33210.

Br(co)
krd 15/7

W.P. No.17015 of 2015



WEB COPY