

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.03.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE T.S. SIVAGNANAM

W.P.No.13657 of 2014

N.Suresh Mouli

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Controller of Examinations,
The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University,
Poompozhi,
5, Dr. D.G.S. Dinakaran Salai,
Chennai-600 028.

2.The Principal,
Government Law College,
Chengalpattu. Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying this Court to issue Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 08.01.2014 submitted to issue necessary mark statements for the 10 papers viz., First Year - FKD - General English II; FKE - Political Science; FKF - Economics; Second Year - FLA - Legal Language; FLC - Law of Contracts I; Third Year - FMC - Administrative Law; FM2 - Practical-I (External) Professional Ethics, Accountancy for Lawyers and Bar - Bench Relations; Fourth Year - FNC - International Law and Human Rights; FN2 - Practical II (external), Public Interest Lawyering, Legal Aid and para Legal Services; Fifth Year - FOA - Civil Procedure Code.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Rajasekaran

For Respondent : Mr.V.M.G.Ramakkannan for R1
Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan
Spl. G.P. for R2

ORDER

Heard Mr.K.Rajasekaran, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.V.M.G.Ramakkannan, learned counsel appearing for the first respondent and Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the second respondent.

2. The petitioner appeared for the examinations conducted by the respondents and appeared for 32 papers. On account of the malpractice, the order of punishment was imposed and the results of all the papers were cancelled. This decision was accepted by the petitioner. Subsequently, the petitioner was permitted to re-appear for 32 papers and he paid the examination fee and he was issued with the hall ticket for the examinations conducted in November, 2013 for all 32 papers. According to the petitioner though he was willing to appear for 32 papers, 10 papers were encircled in the hall ticket stating that he has already passed by using the abbreviation "A.P". Therefore, he did not appear for 10 papers and appeared for the remaining papers and now the petitioner has submitted a representation requesting for publication of results of those 10 papers. The copy of the hall ticket has been filed in the typed set of papers, which shows that in respect of certain papers, the concerned subject code has been encircled and in the top, it has been written as "A.P". This, according to the petitioner is the abbreviation for "Already Passed". It is to be noted that the abbreviation or the encircling does not contain proper authentication. In any event, that issue need not be gone into, since the request of the petitioner is to consider his representation.

3. In the light of the above, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 08.01.2014 on merits and in accordance with law and pass orders within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. The writ petition is disposed of, as stated above. No costs.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CS III)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

bbr

To

1.The Controller of Examinations,
The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University,
Poompozhi,
5, Dr. D.G.S. Dinakaran Salai,
Chennai-600 028.

2.The Principal,
Government Law College,
Chengalpattu.

1 cc to Mr.K. Rajasekaran, Advocate, Sr. 16849
1 cc to Government Pleader, Sr. 16348

W.P.No.13657 of 2014

CTK (CO)
kk 10/4



WEB COPY