

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE: 13.01.2015

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

REVIEW APPLICATION (WRIT) NO.265 OF 2014

IN W.P.NO. 3587 OF 2013

The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its
Principal Secretary to Government,
Public Department, Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.

..Review Applicant

Versus

V.Arun

.. Respondent

Prayer: This Review Application has been filed under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with Section 114 CPC, praying to review the order, dated 3.7.2014 made in W.P.No.2086 of 2013.

For Petitioner : Mr.Rajendra Prasad, GA

ORDER

By order, dated 3.7.2014, this Court allowed the above said writ petition in W.P.No.2086 of 2013, with the following direction:

“3. Taking note of the submission made on the side of the petitioner and after hearing the Government Advocate, this Court directs the respondent to consider and dispose of the fee bills submitted by the petitioner along with his representation dated 15.11.2012. If bills have been raised in accordance with Fee Schedule prescribed by the Government, necessary action should be taken to pay the fees as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

2. The learned Government Advocate appearing for review applicant would submit that the review applicant is not the proper person to settle the fee bills of the writ petitioner and no fee bills were pending with the applicant and that the bills have to be settled by the respective departments which had engaged the writ petitioner and availed his services. Therefore, the learned Government Advocate would submit that it is not practically possible to settle the fee bills since in his letter dated 15.11.2012, the writ petitioner has not given any case particulars and also the details on whose behalf of he appeared. With these submissions, the learned Government Advocate sought for reviewing the order, dated 3.7.2014.

3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate and the facts of the case, this Court is of the view that there is no need to review the order and it is for the review applicant, to

consider the representation dated 15.11.2012 of the petitioner and pass orders therein within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, by settling the fee bills if any pending with it and also by giving reasons under which, the applicant is unable to make payment of the fee bills of the petitioner, so that the petitioner can work out his remedy thereafter.

Accordingly, this Review Application along with connected MP No.1 of 2014 is dismissed. No costs.

Suk

13.01.2015

S.VAIDYANATHAN, J.

suk

REVIEW APPLICATION (WRIT) NO.265 OF 2014

IN W.P.NO. 3587 OF 2013

13.1.2015