

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.04.2015

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

Crl.R.C.No.344 of 2015

Ramalingam

...Petitioner

vs.

1. State by
The Sub Inspector of Police
Pudupettai Police Station1st Respondent/Complainant
2. Balaji
3. Srinivasan2nd & 3rd Respondents/Accused

Criminal Revision filed under Section 397 and 401 Cr.P.C. to set aside the order passed by the Learned Judicial Magistrate NO.II, Panrutti dated 03.11.2014 made in C.C.No.661 of 2009 and the same may be allowed the respondents 2 and 3 may be punished according to law.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Edinbrough

For Respondent-1 : Mr.R.Prathabkumar
Government Advocate(crl.side)

O R D E R

This revision has been filed challenging the acquittal of the respondents 2 and 3 in C.C.No.661 of 2009 by the Learned Judicial Magistrate NO.II, Panrutti by judgment dated 3.11.2014.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the first respondent.

3. It is seen that under the Code of Criminal Procedure, the defacto complainant/victim has got a right of appeal against the acquittal before the Sessions Court.

4. Hence, this Criminal Revision is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Sessions Court.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(R)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ajr

To

1. Judicial Magistrate NO.II,
Panrutti.


2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Pudupettai Police Station.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

1 CC to Mr.A.Edinbrough, Advocate SR.No. 20958

TM (CO)
PSI (28.04.2015)

Crl.R.C.No.344 of 2015



WEB COPY