

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE : 04-09-2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. SELVAM

Criminal Appeal No.1006 of 2006

R. Thangavelu

... Appellant/Complainant

Vs.

R. Paramanathan

... Respondent/Accused

Criminal Appeal under Section 378(1) of CrI.P.C., against the judgment dated 11-10-2006 made in S.T.C.No.718 of 2004 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Coimbatore.

For petitioner :: Mr. B. Kumarasamy

ORDER

The appellant herein, as complainant has filed a private complaint under Section 200, CrI.P.C., 1973 wherein the present respondent has been shown as sole accused. The complaint filed by the appellant has been taken on file in C.C.No.718 of 2004. The Trial Court after considering the averments made in the complaint has framed a charge against the accused under Section 420,IPC, and after considering the available evidence on record, has acquitted the accused by way of dismissing the complaint, in question. Against the dismissal order, the present criminal appeal has been preferred.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant has filed a memo stating that the appellant has received a sum of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty thousand only) from the respondent and to that effect suitable orders may be passed in the criminal appeal.

3. Considering the fact that the matter between the parties has already been settled and to that effect memo has been filed, the same can be recorded and consequently, the present appeal is liable to be dismissed.

In fine, this criminal appeal is dismissed. The memo filed on the side of the appellant is recorded.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To


1. The Judicial Magistrate No.1,
Coimbatore.

2. -do- Through The Chief,
Judicial Magistrate, Coimbatore.

+ 1 cc to Mr.B. Kumarasamy, Advocate Sr.48208

Criminal Appeal No.1006 of 2006

VD(CO)
EU 28.09.2015



WEB COPY