

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**DATED: 25.09.2015****CORAM:****THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN****CONTEMPT PETITION No.928 of 2015**

T.Sanjeeva Rao ... Petitioner

vs.

1. Dr.S.Kannappan
Director of School Education,
Directorate of School Education,
College Road, Chennai 600 006.

2. G.J.Manohar
Headmaster and Correspondent,
Madras Christian College Higher
Secondary School, Harrington Road,
Chetpet, Chennai 600 031. ... Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of
Courts Act, 1960 praying to punish the respondents for
disobedience of the order dated 13.08.2014 passed by this
Court in W.P.No.31115 of 2012.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Subramanian
For Respondents : Mr.I.Arokiasamy,
Government Advocate

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking to punish the respondents for
disobedience of the order dated 13.08.2014 passed by this

2. Heard both sides.

3. The order dated 13.08.2014 passed by this Court in W.P.No.31115 of 2012 would read as under:

"6. Without going into the merits of the issue involved in this writ petition, it is open to the writ petitioner and the second respondent to put forth all the points available to them, including the points raised in the writ petition, quoting paragraph 10 of the counter, which is extracted supra, to the authorities concerned, who shall hear the matter and pass appropriate orders, preferably within 3 months from the date of receipt of a copy of the representation from the petitioner. Since the copy of the representation dated 29.09.2005 is available in the typed set of papers, a copy of the same may be forwarded by the learned Government Advocate to the authorities concerned on issuing notice to the writ petitioner and the second respondent, after complying with the principles of natural justice, a detailed order may be passed by the first respondent. It is open to both the parties to raise additional grounds, if required, and also file their written submissions.

7. The writ petition is disposed of on the above terms. No costs."

4. It is seen that pursuant to the above order of this Court, the first respondent has passed an order dated 28.01.2015 as could be seen from the typed set of papers, and the same has been served on the petitioner. The petitioner has also admitted that enquiry has been conducted as per the order of this Court and only after hearing him, the 1st respondent has passed the said order.

5. In view of the same, no further order is necessary in this
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

matter and the Contempt Petition stands closed. However, if

the petitioner is aggrieved by the order of the 1st respondent, it is open to him to challenge the same before this Court.

Aeb

SD/-
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (CLAA)
//Certified to be true copy//


Dated at Madras this the day of 2015.
COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

SS/CO/14/10/2015

One CC to Mr.R.Subramanian, Advocate, Sr.No.12399

To
The Director,
Directorate of School Educate,
College Road, Chennai-6.



WEB COPY