

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.04.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

W.P.No.11815 of 2015

J.Mathiaas

...Petitioner

Vs

1.The District Collector,
Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Alandur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of mandamus, directing the respondents herein to consider the petitioner representation dated 19.07.2014 and to issue the Patta in the petitioner's name with regard to the property of the land comprised in Survey No.244, measuring to an extent of Acre 1.28 cents situated at 98, Pozhichalur Village, Pammal Firka, Alandur Taluk, Kancheepuram District.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Arivazhagan

For Respondents : Mr.Rm.Muthukumar
Government Advocate

O R D E R

By consent, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioner claims that he is the absolute owner of the property by means of possessory right in respect of the land comprised in Survey No.244, admeasuring to an extent of Acre 1.28 cents situate at 98, Pozhichalur Village, Pammal Firka, Alandur Taluk, Kancheepuram District and he has also obtained Electricity Service Connection. The petitioner submitted a representation dated 19.07.2014 to the second respondent praying for classification of the land from "Meikkal Pormabokey" to "Natham Porambokey" and grant him house site patta and also marked the copy of the same to the first respondent, who in turn vide memo dated 26.09.2014 directed the second respondent to consider and inform the decision taken to the petitioner. The grievance expressed by the petitioner is that in spite of such a direction given by the first respondent, the second respondent did not take any action and hence, came forward

to file this writ petition.

3. Heard the submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.Rm.Muthukumar, learned Government Advocate, who accepts notice for the respondents.

4. This Court taking into consideration the limited scope of prayer sought for by the petitioner and without going into the merits of the representation, directs the second respondent to consider the representation dated 19.07.2014, in accordance with law, after putting notice on concerned persons and pass orders as expeditiously as possible and not later than eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the decision to the petitioner as well as the persons concerned.

5. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

svki

To

1.The District Collector,
Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Alandur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

1 cc to Mr.S.Arivazhagan ,Advocate, SR.No.21908

1 cc to Government Pleader,Sr.No22103

W.P.No.11815 of 2015

WEB COPY

ca(co)

pmk.1.6.2015