

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29/6/2015

C O R A M

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI
and
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. VENUGOPAL

W.A. No.841 of 2015
and
M.P. No.1 of 2015

Tamil Nadu Karumbu Vivasayigal Sangam
rep. By its Secretary
P.M.Kader Hussain
1/23 Ibrahim Nagar
Rajagiri
Papanasam Taluk
Tanjore District 614 207.

... Appellant

Vs

1. The Union of India
rep. By its Secretary
Ministry of Consumer Affairs
Food and Public Distribution Department
Krishi Bhavan
New Delhi.
2. The Director of Sugar and Cane Commissioner
of Tamil Nadu
No.690 Anna Salai
Periyar Building
Nandanam
Chennai 600 035.
3. Thiru.Aruran Sugars Ltd
rep. By its General Manager
Thirumangudi
Papanasam Taluk
Tanjore District.

... Respondents

Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against
the order dated 1/6/2015 passed in M.P.No.1 of 2015 in W.P.No.13951
of 2015.

W.P.No.13951 of 2015: Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to issue interim direction directing the 1st respondent to fix additional cane price as per Clause 5-A of Sugarcane (Control) order 1966 and consequently direct the respondents to disburse the additional cane price to members of the petitioners Association for the period from 1999-2000 to 2008-2009 (in M.P.No.1 of 2015) pending disposal of the above W.P.No.13951 of 2015.

For appellant : Mr.S.Udayakumar
for M/s.K.Kumudha

For respondents : Mr.T.Bala Ramesh, AGP
for R.2.

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.,)

This instant appeal is directed against the order dated 1/6/2015, whereunder, though the appellant relied on the orders passed in the similar cases, no interim relief was granted by the learned Single Judge. In fact, interim relief is sought for against the third respondent. However, according to the learned counsel appearing for the appellant, the first and third respondents did not appear on the date of passing the above order.

2. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, as the relief sought for is basically against the third respondent, it is for the appellant/writ petitioner to make appropriate application before the learned writ Court for the appropriate order, if so advised, under the provisions of law.

3. This writ appeal is disposed of accordingly. Connected M.P. No.1 of 2015 is closed. No costs.

सत्यमेव जयते

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mvs.


To

1. The Director of Sugar and Cane Commissioner of Tamil Nadu
No.690 Anna Salai
Periyar Building
Nandanam
Chennai 600 035.
2. The Secretary
Union of India
Ministry of Consumer Affairs
Food and Public Distribution Department
Krishi Bhavan
New Delhi.

+1cc to M/s.K.Kumudha, Advocate, S.R.No.32066

W.A.No.841 of 2015
and
M.P.No.1 of 2015

MP (CO)
CA (07/07/2015)



WEB COPY