

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 24.11.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.NAGAMUTHU

Second Appeal No.815 of 2014 and

M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2014 and 1 of 2015

Santha ... Appellant/Defendant

-Vs-

Annamalai ... Respondent/Plaintiff

SECOND APPEAL filed under Section 100 of Code of Civil Procedure against the judgment and decree passed in A.S.No.8 of 2012 on 21.03.2014 by the learned Principal District Judge, Puducherry confirming the judgment and decree dated 05.12.2011 passed in O.S.No.260 of 2004 by the learned Additional Subordinate Judge, Puducherry.

For Appellant : No representation

For Respondent : Mr.R.Annamalai,
Party-in-person

J U D G M E N T

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 19.11.2015, there was no representation for the appellant. However, in order to afford yet another opportunity to the appellant, the matter was ordered to be listed on 24.11.2015 under the caption, 'for dismissal'. Accordingly, even though the matter is listed today under the caption, 'for dismissal', there is no representation for the appellant. The learned Counsel for the respondent is ready to argue the matter. It appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence,

the Second Appeal is dismissed for default. No costs.
Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

-Sd/-
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

tsi


To

- 1.The Additional Subordinate Judge, Puducherry.
- 2.The Principal District Judge, Puducherry.

+1 cc to Mr.R.Natarajan Advocate sr.63517

S.A.No.815 OF 2014

aa14/12/2015



WEB COPY