

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.RAVINDRAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/4TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(Crl.).No. 118 of 2015 (S)

PETITIONER:

JITHISH.P.V
S/O.VENUGOPALAN, PULIMKUZHI HOUSE, MULAYAM
KOOTALA P.O., THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN -680 652.

BY ADV. SRI.MAHESH V.MENON

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF
THRISSUR - 680001.
2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MANNUTHY POLICE STATION, MANNUTHY
THRISSUR DISTRICT - 680003.
3. SUBASH
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
THUNDATHIL HOUSE, MULAYAM, KOOTALA P.O.
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN - 680 652.

R-R3 BY ADV. SRI.K.B.GANGESH
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.KOCHUMOL KODUVETH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.N.RAVINDRAN & ANIL K.NARENDRA, JJ.

W.P.(Crl.) No.118 of 2015

Dated this the 25th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

P.N.Ravindran, J.

The petitioner who claims to be in love with the third respondent's daughter Saranya Subash has filed this writ petition for a writ of habeas corpus commanding respondents 1 and 2 to produce the body of the detinue in this Court and to set her at liberty forthwith. It is alleged that he and the detinue are in love and that they intend to marry and live together as husband and wife. The petitioner has also alleged the detinue is under the illegal custody of the third respondent.

2. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the third respondent appeared and produced his daughter in this Court. We interacted with the petitioner, the third respondent, his wife and the detinue. During the course of the interaction, the detinue stated that she is not under the illegal custody of her parents and that she would like to go with her parents. The detinue as well as her parents also submitted that she is being harassed by the petitioner. Though the petitioner stated that he would like to interact with the detinue,

the detenue declined to interact. In such circumstances, as the detenue has in categorical terms admitted that she has no intention to go with the petitioner and she is willing to go with her parents and also expressed her desire not to interact with the petitioner and has further stated that the petitioner is harassing her, we are of the opinion that the relief prayed for in the instant writ petition cannot be granted.

The writ petition fails and it is accordingly dismissed.

**P.N.RAVINDRAN,
(JUDGE)**

**ANIL K.NARENDRAN,
(JUDGE)**

vps