

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.K.MOHANAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.D.RAJAN

FRIDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/15TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(Cr1.).No. 87 of 2015 (S)

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

AMBILI, AGED 32 YEARS
D/O.SIVARAMAN, KATTUTHARAYIL, CHUNAKKARA
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.SUNIL KUMAR
SMT.A.SALINI LAL

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

1. DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF
S.P.OFFICE, ALAPPUZHA, PIN-688001
2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR
CIRCLE OFFICE, MAVELIKKARA, ALAPPUZHA,
PIN-688001
3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARUTHIKADU POLICE STATION, KARUTHIKADU,
ALAPPUZHA DIST, PIN-688011.
4. BABU,
PALATHARAYIL, KANICHANALLOOR, CHEPPAD
ALAPPUZHA-PIN-690507
5. SAJEEV
PALATHARAYIL, KANICHALLOOR, CHEPPAD
ALAPPUZHA-690507

R1-R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SHIBU JOSEPH
R5 BY ADV. SRI.P.SREEKUMAR
R5 BY ADV. SRI.SOORAJ T.ELENJICKAL
R5 BY ADV. SRI.B.PRASANATH
R5 BY ADV. SRI.T.S.SARATH
R5 BY ADV. SMT.P.M.MAZNA MANSOOR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
06-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(Crl.).No. 87 of 2015 (S)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:-COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE

EXT.P2:-COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE HEAD MISTRESS, GOVT
W.L.P.S,CHUNAKKARA

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

ami/

V.K.MOHANAN & P.D.RAJAN, JJ.

W.P.(CrI.)No.87 of 2015

Dated this the 6th day of March, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

Mohanana, J.

As this Court is not inclined to entertain the above writ petition, since the claim of the petitioner with regard to the custody of the children born to the petitioner and the 5th respondent is not sustainable in the writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the learned counsel submitted that he is not pressing the writ petition so as to work out other legal remedy. The above submission is recorded. It is the further submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the kids are school going children and their examinations are going to start by next week and therefore a direction may be issued to the 5th respondent to see that the children are attending the school as well as the examinations, otherwise they are likely to lose one academic year. The learned counsel for the 4th

and 5th respondents submitted that the 5th respondent will see that the children are attending the school and the examinations. The above submissions are recorded. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Sd/-

V.K.MOHANAN, Judge

Sd/-

P.D.RAJAN, Judge

ami/

//True copy//

P.A.to Judge