

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/2ND POUSHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 39638 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER:

**SARAMMA MATHEW AGED 34 YEARS
W/O. K. SHABU, HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER (JUNIOR)
SOCIOLOGY, J.M. HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, VAKATHANAM
P.O. PUTHENCHANTHA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.V.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION
HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS, SANTHI NAGAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**
- 3. THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT 686 001**
- 4. THE MANAGER,
CATHOLICATE & MD SCHOOLS CORPORATE MANAGEMENT,
DEVALOKAM, KOTTAYAM 686 038**

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
23-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR DATE 20.09.2010**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DATED 02.06.2014**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR DATED 09.12.2015**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT BY THE MANAGER DATED 15.12.2015**
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 19.12.2015**
- P6: TRUE COPY OF THE DECISION REPORTED IN 2009 (2) KLT 352 DATED 8.4.2009**
- P7: TRUE COPY OF THE G.O.(RT) NO.4635/2015/G.EDN. DATED 01.10.2015 OF THE GOVERNMENT**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 39638 of 2015 (D)

Dated this the 23rd day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's grievance is that Ext.P5 revision dated 19.12.2015 has not been considered till date by the 1st respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider the revision, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of without any observation on merits, which shall be decided by the 1st respondent.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE