

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/1ST POUSHA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 39103 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER:

MERCY CHANDRAN, AGED 54 YEARS,
W/O LATE CHANDRAN, LAKSHMI NIVAS,
LAKSHMI NADA, VIDYANAGER, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.BIJU .C. ABRAHAM

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KOLLAM WEST,
KOLLAM. 691013
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KOLLAM WEST POLICE
STATION, KOLLAM 691013.
3. RAJESWARY, W/O KUMARASWAMY, M272/TNHD COLONY,
THENKASI P.O 627811.
4. KUMARASWAMY, M272/TNHD COLONY,
THENKASI P.O 627811

R1 & 2 BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. C.R. SYAMKUMAR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) .No. 39103 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX:

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DATED 18.12.2015 SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE RESPONDENT NO.2 ALONG WITH ITS
ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

TRUE COPY

P.A. TO JUDGE.

kp/-

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 39103 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 22nd day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking police protection against the threat of respondents 3 and 4 and their men, who come to her house demanding the repayment of loan amount which the petitioner has received from them and had threatened with loss of life, if amount is not paid.

2. Petitioner submits that for the purpose of her younger sister's marriage, she had borrowed an amount of ₹7,00,000/- from respondents 3 and 4 and as security she had issued a cheque in her bank account. Without any recourse to appropriate legal steps respondents 3 and 4 are attempting to threaten her and under fear of life she filed Ext.P1 complaint before the police. Since no action has been taken in the matter, this writ petition was filed.

3. Having regard to the nature of averments, we do not think that any direction as sought for is required to be passed.

However, we direct the second respondent to consider Ext.P1 complaint and take necessary steps in accordance with law.

With the above observations the writ petition is disposed of.

**ASHOK BHUSHAN,
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**A.M. SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE**