IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/30TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 38825 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

RAGI MOL, AGED 30 YEARS,
W/O. PRADEEP V.R., THEKKEKKARA HOUSE,
THODUKAD, VAVULLIYAVURAM POST,
ALATUR-678 541.

BY ADV. SRI.U.BALAGANGADHARAN.

RESPONDENT(S):

----

1. **STATE OF KERALA,**
   REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
   SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

2. **THE DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT,**
   ANNEX, SOCIAL WELFARE BHAVAN, POOJAPPURA,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

3. **INEGRATED CHILD DEVELOPMENT SCHEME,**
   PROJECT OFFICER, PALAKKAD, CIVIL STATION,
   PALAKKAD-678 001.

4. **THE CHILD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OFFICER,**
   PUTHIYANKAM, ALATHUR-678 541.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.A. LOWSY.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

rs.

WP(C).No. 38825 of 2015 (C)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXT.P1	**COPY OF THE WORKER SENIORITY LIST OF ANGANAVADI, THAROOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT.**
EXT.P2	**COPY OF THE LETTER NO.B/1301/11 DATED 14/10/2011 OF PROGRAMME OFFICER, ICDS, PALAKKAD.**
EXT.P3	**COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF SECOND RESPONDENT NO.ICDS.B4-28887/11 DATED 08/11/2011.**
EXT.P4	**COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C).NO.20886/2012 DATED 27/10/2015 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.**
EXT.P5	**COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH & 5TH RESPONDENTS DATED 09/11/2015.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- **NIL.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C) No.38825 of 2015 - C

==========

Dated this the 21st day of December,2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P5 representation filed before the respondents 3 and 4. The representation is filed on 09.11.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the respondents 3 and 4 definitely will have to look at the representation filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of production of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on

merits, which the respondents 3 and 4 will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB/21/12/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.