

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/24TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37996 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER(S) :

**SEBASTIAN ANTONY, AGED 65 YEARS,
S/O.LATE P.D.ANTONY, PADINJARE VEETIL, MANNARKAYAM,
KANJIRAPPALLY, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT-686 506.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.C.ANILKUMAR (KALLESSERIL)
SRI.C.Y.VINOD KUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT-686 001.**
- 2. THE TAHSILDAR, KANJIRAPPALLY TALUK,
KOTTAYAM-686 001.**
- 3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PWD (ROADS), KOTTAYAM-686 001.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PWD (ROADS), KANJIRAPPALLY SUB DIVISION,
KOTTAYAM-686 001.**
- 5. RAJAN RAGHAVAN,
THAVOOR VEEDU, KATHALANGALPADY, MANNARKKAYAM P.O.,
KOTTAYAM-686 506.**

R1 TO R4 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.T.P.SAJID

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 37996 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE SAID COMPLAINT DATED 22-08-2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENTS.

P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 12-10-2015 ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER ATTACHED TO THE OFFICE OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.

P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE REMINDER LETTER DATED 07-10-2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE NEWS ITEM PUBLISHED IN THE MANGALAM DAILY DATED 21-10-2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PA.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P(C)Nos.37996 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 15th day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner alleges that 5th respondent had encroached upon public puramboke and public road. Petitioner raised Ext.P1 complaint before the 4th respondent.

2. This Court is of the view that appropriate action shall be taken by the 4th respondent based on the complaint within two months from the date receipt of a copy of this judgment, after affording reasonable opportunity for hearing to the petitioner as well as the 5th respondent.

Writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
JUDGE

vdv/15/12/15