

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/26TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37947 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER :

**P. WILSON
PRAISY BHAVAN, PUNNEKARA
MARAYOOR P.O., IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI. ENOCH DAVID SIMON JOEL

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
COLLECTORATE, IDUKKI - 685 603.**
- 2. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE, DEVIKULAM
IDUKKI - 685 613.**
- 3. TAHSILDHAR
TALUK OFFICE,
DEVIKULAM,
IDUKKI - 685 613.**
- 4. VILLAGE OFFICER
VILLAGE OFFICE, MARAYOOR,
IDUKKI - 685 620.**

R1 TO R4 BY SPL. GOVT. PLEADER SMT. SUSEELA R. BHATT

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 17-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 37947 of 2015 (P)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DTD. 19.10.2015 RELATING TO
 PETITIONER'S PROPERTY.**
- EXT.P-2: TRUE COPY OF THE SETTLEMENT REGISTER RELATING TO
 SY.NO.206/IA AND 206/IB OF MARAYOOR VILLAGE.**
- EXT.P-3: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD.13.8.2012 SUBMITTED BY THE
 PETITIONER BEFORE THE SUB COLLECTOR, DEVIKULAM.**
- EXT.P-3(a): TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DTD 23.8.2012 ISSUED BY THE SUB
 COLLECTOR, DEVIKULAM TO THE PETITIONER.**
- EXT.P-4: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DTD. 29.4.2014 SUBMITTED BY THE 3RD
 RESPONDENT TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P-5: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DTD. 28.3.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE 4TH
 RESPONDENT TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No.37947 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to be given to the second respondent to consider Ext.P3 application filed before the second respondent. The prayer of the petitioner in Ext.P3 is that, settlement deed has to be corrected, as to the entries relating to the petitioner's property showing it as a 'Patta land'. According to the petitioner it is not a Patta land but a registered holding with private ownership and possession. The petitioner also produced Exts.P3(a) to P5 which are in his favour.

2. This Court is of the view that the petitioner's request as per Ext.P3 shall be considered by the second respondent/RDO and appropriate orders shall be passed, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the second respondent for further steps.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
JUDGE.**