

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/24TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37859 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER(S):

**P. HARIS AGED, 29 YEARS
SON OF ABDU SALAM
ARABIC TEACHER, RAHMANIYA ORPHANAGE ALP SCHOOL
PERUVALATHPARAMBA, IRUKKUR,
KANNUR DIST. 670 593**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD
SMT.P.A.JENZIA
SRI.V.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVT.
GENERAL EDUCATIOND DEPT., SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 141**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
TALIPARAMBA, KANNUR DIST. 670 141**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
IRIKKUR, KANNUR DIST. 670 593**
- 5. THE MANAGER, RAHMANIYA ORPHANAGE ALP SCHOOL
PERUVALATHPARAMBA, IRUKKUR
KANNUR DIST. 670 593**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A. LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 37859 of 2015 (F)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DTD
2.6.14
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE ORDER NO. F/3830/14/K.DIS DTD. 13.2.15 OF THE
4TH RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT
DTD. 10/3/15 BY THE MANAGER
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE ORDER NO. B4/1583/2015/K.DIS DTD. 19.5.15 OF THE
3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P5 COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE
RESPONDENT DTD. 17.6.15 BY THE MANAGER.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: **NIL**

// TRUE COPY //

P.A TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.37859 of 2015 - F

=====

Dated this the 15th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P5 revision filed before the 2nd respondent. The revision is filed on 17.06.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 2nd respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of production of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 2nd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/15/12/2015

// true copy //
P.A to Judge.