

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

FRIDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/20TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37616 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER :

**L.VENKATESAN,
S/O.M.LAKSHMANA NAIDU,
KOTTAPPULLY HOUSE, HARI NAGAR,
POONKUNNAM, THRISSUR-680 002.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SAJU J.VALLYARA
SMT.J.SUPARNA MOHAN**

RESPONDENT :

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
THRISSUR, PIN: 680 003.**

BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA.K.A.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 11-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).NO.37616/2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**P1 COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 01/09/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

sts

K. HARILAL, J.

= = = = =

W.P. (C) No.37616 of 2015

- - - - -

Dated this the 11th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is the registered owner of a stage carriage bearing registration No. KL-08-AV-1456 and he has been granted with a regular permit on the route Government College - Kuttanellur - Tirur with a set of timings, which was given several years back. After the issuance of the set of timings, some of the timings of the service are in clash with other services on the route in question. In the said circumstance, the petitioner preferred Ext.P1 application before the respondent, seeking revision of his own timings. Thus, his only prayer is to consider Ext.P1 and pass orders, so as to remove the clash between the timings of the petitioner's

-: 2 :-

vehicle and that of others. According to the petitioner, the revision of timings is beneficial to the travelling public also. To substantiate his right to get revision of the existing timings, the petitioner relied on the decision of this Court in ***Joji Edattel v. The Secretary, RTA, Idukki*** [2004 (1) KLT 493].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner confines his arguments to the limited prayer to issue a direction to dispose of Ext.P1 application at the earliest.

4. Having regard to the grievance highlighted in Ext.P1 application, the respondent is directed to consider and pass orders on Ext.P1 at the earliest, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

-: 3 :-

This writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

**K. HARILAL,
JUDGE**

DST

//True copy//

P.A. To Judge