

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/19TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37476 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER(S):

**SREELATHA T., LPSA, AGED 33 YEARS
AUP SCHOOL KALLUVAZHI
CHERPULSERRY SUB DIST.
MANNARKKAD EDN.DIST.
PALAKKAD 679 514
NOW RESIDING AT SREELATHA.T
W/O MANOHAR C
CHOLETHODI HOUSE
KUTTANASSERI P.O
PALAKKAD 679 514**

**BY ADVS.SRI.U.BALAGANGADHARAN
SRI.V.JAYANANDAKUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY SECRETARY
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTURCTIONS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MANNARGHAT 678 582**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
CHERUPLASSERY, PALAKKAD - 679 503**
- 5. THE MANAGER, SABARI AIDED UP SCHOOL
KALLUVAZHI, CHERUPLASSERY, PALAKKD 679 514**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- | | |
|---------------|--|
| EXT.P1 | COPY OF APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD. 2.6.03 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER WITH ENDORSEMENT DTD. 13.5.15 OF AEO |
| EXT.P2 | COPY OF PROCEEDINGS OF AEO, CHEUPLASSERY DTD. 5.7.14 |
| EXT.P3 | COPY OF 2ND APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD. 15.7.03 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER. |
| EXT.P4 | COPY OF STAFF FIXATION PROCEEDINGS DTD. 3.9.203 OF AEO CHERUPLASSERY |
| EXT.P5 | COPY OF ORDER ISSUED BY THE DPI DTD. 3.9.05 |
| EXT.P6 | COPY OF PROCEEDINGS OF AEO DTD. 3.7.15 ISSUED TO ONE SAJITHA KUMARI |
| EXT.P7 | COPY OF REVISION PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER DTED. 29.9.15 |

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P A TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.37476 of 2015 - H

=====

Dated this the 10th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P7 revision filed before the 1st respondent. The revision is filed on 29.09.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of production of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/10/12/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.