

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/18TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37246 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONERS:

1. **THE MAANGER
AL-MUBARAK U.P.SCHOOL, PALLIPURAM
MUDICKAL, PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**
2. **M.A. FATHIMA, L.G. HINDI TEACHER
AL-MUBARAK U.P.SCHOOL, PALLIPURAM
MUDICKAL, PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENTS:

1. **THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
2. **THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 014.**
3. **THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-683 542.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
09-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :-

- | | | |
|--------|---|--|
| EXT.P1 | - | COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE 2ND PETITIONER DATED 16.09.2011. |
| EXT.P2 | - | COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE 2ND PETITIONER DATED 03.06.2013. |
| EXT.P3 | - | COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C/2381/13/L.DIS. DATED 31.03.2015 OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P4 | - | COPY OF THE INFORMATION FURNISHED UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT DATED 21.05.2015. |
| EXT.P5 | - | COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BY THE 2ND PETITIONER DATED 30.05.2015 BEFORE THE DIRECTOR. |
| EXT.P6 | - | COPY OF THE HEARING NOTE. |
| EXT.P7 | - | COPY OF THE ORDER NO.F5/51182/15 DPI/K.DIS. DATED 24.07.2015 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P8 | - | COPY OF THE REVISION PETITIO SUBMITTED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 30.08.2015, WITHOUT EXHIBITS. |

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.37246 of 2015-E

Dated this the 9th day of December, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P8 revision petition pending before the first respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P8 within a reasonable period.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P8 revision petition of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the first respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE.**

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.

sp/09/12/15