

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/17TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37204 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER:

**M.P.VENUGOPALAN NAMBOOTHIRI AGED 52 YEARS
S/O. N. PARAMESWARAN NAMBOOTHIRI,
HEADMASTER (UNDER SUSPENSION), NAGARAJA VILASAM U.P. SCHOOL
VETTICODE, KAYAMKULAM - 690 503
(RESIDING AT MEPPALLIL ILLOM, VETTICODE, KAYAMKULAM 690 503)**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.V.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 2. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KAYAMKULAM 690 502, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT**
- 3. THE MANAGER, NAGARAJA VILASAM U.P. SCHOOL,
VETTICODE, KAYAMKULAM 690 503.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
08-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE MANAGER**
- P1(A) TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY OF THE PETITIONER DATED 24.08.2015**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE MANAGER DATED 30.07.2015**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE MEMO OF CHARGES DATED 26.10.2015**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER OF THE PETITIONER DATED 30.10.2015**
- P4(A) TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER OF THE PETITIONER DATED 7.11.2015**
- P4(B) TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY OF THE PETITIONER DATED 16.11.2015**
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.1451/2015 DATED 10.08.2015 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- P6: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE MANAGER DATED 12.08.2015**
- P7: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE MANAGER DATED 6.11.2015**
- P8: TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 27.11.2015**

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 37204 of 2015 (A)

Dated this the 8th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's grievance is that Ext.P8 revision dated 27.11.2015 has not been considered till date by the 1st respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider the revision, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of without any observation on merits, which shall be decided by the 1st respondent.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE