

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/17TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 37162 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER:

**V MOIDEEN KUTTY AGED 58 YEARS
S/O. MOHAMMED, HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (SS)(RETIRED),
NATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL KOLATHUR, MALAPPURAM
(RESIDING AT VAKERITHODY HOUSE, KOLATHUR, MALAPPURAM 679 338)**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, JAGATHY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 014**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, DOWN HILL,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 676 519**
- 4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MALAPPURAM 676 519**
- 5. THE MANAGER, NATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL, KOLATHUR
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 679 338**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
08-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE MANAGER DATED 25.07.2008**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE MANAGER DATED 30.09.2009**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
DATED 10.02.2010**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN C.C.NO. 544/2008 DATED 28.11.2011 OF
THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT CLASS I, PERINTHALMANNA**
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.242/2007 OF THE
SESSIONS COURT DATED 12.6.2009**
- P6: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
DATED 20.11.2012**
- P7: TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT
DATED 19.06.2013 WITHOUT ANNEXURES**
- P8: TRUE COPY OF THE HEARING NOTICE DATED 19.7.2014**
- P9: TRUE COPY OF THE ARGUMENT NOTES WITHOUT ANNEXURES**
- P10: TGRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT
DATED 4.11.2015**

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 37162 of 2015 (U)

Dated this the 8th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's grievance is that Ext.P10 revision dated 04.11.2015 has not been considered till date by the 1st respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider the revision, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of without any observation on merits, which shall be decided by the 1st respondent.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE