

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/17TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 36995 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER(S):

**COCHIN DOCK EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
REGISTERED OFFICE AT 24/613,
WILLINGTON ISLAND, KOCHI.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.ARUN BABU,
SRI.C.Y.VINOD KUMAR.**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:-:

- 1. THE CHAIRMAN,
COCHIN PORT TRUST, WILLINGTON ISLAND,
COCHIN - 682 003.**
- 2. THE ESTATE OFFICER,
COCHIN PORT TRUST, WILLINGTON ISLAND,
COCHIN - 682 003.**

BY ADV. SRI.V.ABRAHAM MARKOS.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 36995 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXHIBIT P1. THE TRUE COPY OF THE LEASE DEED EXECUTED SUBSEQUENTLY ON 21.02.2005 BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF THE COCHIN PORT TRUST.

EXHIBIT P2. THE TRUE COPY OF THE REVIEW APPLICATION DATED 25.11.2015 FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT P3. THE TRUE COPY OF THE TERMINATION NOTICE DATED 18.11.2015, ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

~~~~~  
**W.P.(C).No.36995/2015**  
~~~~~

Dated this the 8th Day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is a Cochin Dock Employees Association. They have approached the first respondent by filing Exhibit-P2 review application as against an order of termination issued by the second respondent. Exhibit P3 is the order of termination. Petitioner confines their relief for consideration of Exhibit-P2 by the first respondent.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to consider Exhibit P2 within a period of one month. Till then, status quo as on today shall be maintained.

The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

ms