

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/17TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 36986 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

**S. VINOD, AGED 44 YEARS,
S/O. SIVANANDAN, KARATHERIL HOUSE,
KALLELBHAGOM P.O., KARUNAGAPPALLY-VIA,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA,
SRI.P.TABHILASH.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. TAHSILDAR, TALUK OFFICE,
KOTTARAKKARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 506.**
- 3. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS,
CIVIL STATION, COLLECTORATE, KOLLAM-691 013.**
- 4. VILLAGE OFFICER ,
KALAYAPURAM VILLAGE, KOTTARAKKARA-VIA.,
KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 560.**
- 5. DAVEED YOHANNAN,
S/O. YOHANNAN KAVARACHAM PARAVILA,
KAVARACHAM PARAVILA HOUSE, THAMARAKUDY MURIYIL,
MYLAM VILLAGE, KOTTARAKKARA P.O.,
KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 506.**

R1 TO R4 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- P1 : COPY OF THE DOCUMENT NO.235/1998 DTD. 20.02.1998 OF THE SUB REGISTRY, KALAYAPURAM.
- P2 : COPY OF THE DOCUMENT NO.1335/2003 DTD. 22.09.2003 OF THE SUB REGISTRY, KALAYAPURAM.
- P3 : COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DTD. 23.03.2013 ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER, KALAYAPURAM.
- P4 : COPY OF THE THANDAPER REGISTER OF BLOCK NO.13 OF SY.NO.403/4 OF KALAYAPURAM VILLAGE.
- P5 : COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD. 07.04.2015 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P6 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 14.08.2015 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- P7 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 10.09.2015 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE RESPONDENTS 2 TO 4.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

~~~~~  
**W.P.(C).No.36986/2015**  
~~~~~

Dated this the 8th Day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court on account of the delay in effecting transfer of registry based on Exhibit P2 document. It appears that some dispute is pending between the petitioner and the fifth respondent and therefore, the revenue officials refused to consider the matter.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to consider the application of the petitioner after hearing the petitioner as well as the fifth respondent. It is open for the second respondent to relegate the matter to the Additional Tahsildar. Needful shall be done within two months.

The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

ms