

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/11TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 36505 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

**D.VISHNU PRASAD, OFFICE ATTENDANT
SREE KARTHYAYANI VILASOM HIGH SCHOOL
P.O KUTTAMPEROOR, MANNAR
ALAPPUZHA DIST. 689 623**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.V.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVT.
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPT., SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 014**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
ALAPPUZHA - 688 001**
- 4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MAVELIKKARA , ALAPPUZHA DIST 690 101**
- 5. THE MANAGER, SREE KARTHYAYANI VILASOM HIGH SCHOOL
P,O KUTTAMPEROOR, MANNAR
ALAPPUZHA DIST 689 623**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A. LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 36505 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

- | | |
|---------------|---|
| EXT.P1 | COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DTD. 2.6.14 |
| EXT.P2 | COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER DTD. 14.1.15 |
| EXT.P3 | COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION DTD. 19.5.15 |
| EXT.P4 | COPY OF THE REVISION FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT BY THE MANAGER DTD. 6.10.15 |
| EXT.P5 | COPY OF THE G.O(RT) NO. 3753/2015/G.EDN. DTD. 3.9.15 OF THE GOVT. |
| EXT.P6 | COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVT. DTD. 25.11.15 |
| EXT.P7 | COPY OF THE STAFF FIXATION ORDER 2014-15 DTD. 15.7.14 |
| EXT.P8 | COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER SMT. SHILPA B. NAIR DTD. 2.6.14 |

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: **NIL**

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.36505 of 2015 - K

=====

Dated this the 2nd day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P6 revision filed before the 1st respondent. The revision is filed on 25.11.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the revision filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/2/12/2015

// true copy //

P.A To Judge.