

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/11TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 36447 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER(S):

**C LEENU, AGED 40 YEARS
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (PHYSICAL SCIENCE)
S.N.M HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
PURAKKAD - 695 551, ALAPPUZHA DIST.
(WIFE OF C. ANU, RESIDING AT NAVARANGAM HOUSE,
P.O PALLANA, THRIKKUNNAPPUZHA, ALAPPUZHA DIST.)**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.V.RAJASEKHARAN NAIR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVT.
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPT., SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION
HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS
SANTHI NAGAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001**
- 3. THE REGIONAL DEPUITY DIRECTOR OF
HIGHER SECONDARY EDN.
P.O CHENGANNUR, ALAPPUZHA DIST. 689 121**
- 4. THE MANAGER, S.N.M HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
PURAKKAD, ALAPPUZHA DIST. 690 551.**
- 5. SMT. S. SITHARA
HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER (JUNIOR)(PHYSICS)
S.N.M HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
PURAKKAD, ALAPPUZHA DIST. 690 551**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. A. LOWSY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 36447 of 2015 (E)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

- | | |
|---------------|--|
| EXT.P1 | COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITIONER DTD.
1.6.11 |
| EXT.P2 | COPY OF THE PETITION FILED BEFORE THE MANAGER DTD.
26.9.15 |
| EXT.P3 | COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE MANAGER DTD.
26.10.15 |
| EXT.P4 | COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE 3RD
RESPONDENT DTD. 2.11.15 |
| EXT.P5 | COPY OF THE DECISION REPORTED IN 2009(4) KLT 514 DTD.
30.9.09 |

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:

NIL

// TRUE COPY ///

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.36447 of 2015 - E

=====

Dated this the 2nd day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P4 petition filed before the 3rd respondent. The petition is filed on 02.11.2015

2. In such circumstance, the 3rd respondent definitely will have to look at the petition filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 3rd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/2/12/2015

// true copy //

P.A To Judge.