

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/9TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 36144 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER:

**THE MANAGER, AGED 65 YEARS
KUNHALI MARAKKAR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
KOTTAKKAL, IRINGAL - 673521
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION, HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695001**
- 3. THE REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF HIGHER
SECONDARY EDUCATION, KOZHIKODE - 673020**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30-11-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER DATED 29.05.2014**
- EXT.P1(a) COPY OF THE CHALEN RECEIPT DATED 29.05.2014**
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED
08.08.2014**
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE G.O.(Ms.)No.143/2014/G.Edn. DATED 31.07.2014 OF THE
GOVERNMENT**
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BEFORE THE
GOVERNMENT DATED 30.08.2015**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

SD

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.36144 of 2015-P

Dated this the 30th day of November, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P4 representation pending before the second respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the second respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P4 within a reasonable time.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the second respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P4 representation of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the second respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE.

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.