

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE**

**FRIDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/6TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 35982 of 2015 (W)**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S) :**  
-----

**JOY RODRIGS, AGED 50 YEARS,  
S/O.CORNOLY VICTOR RODRIGS, 903, AKKARIA ARCADE,  
AYYAPPANKAVU, CHITTOOR ROAD, ERNAKULAM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE MATHEWS**

**RESPONDENT(S) :**  
-----

- 1. UNION OF INDIA,  
REP. BY SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS,  
NEW DELHI.**
- 2. REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER,  
PASSPORT SAVA LENDRA, TRIPUNITHURA, GROUND FLOOR,  
HEERA ROYAL, AIRPORT - SEAPORT ROAD,  
COCHIN PALACE P.O., KARINGACHIRA - 682 301.**

**BY ADV. SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 27-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :**

-----

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE FRONT PAGE OF THE S.S.L.C. BOOK OF THE PETITIONER.**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE PASSPORT OF THE PETITIONER.**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION OF THE PETITIONER.**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE ELECTION IDENTITY CARD OF THE PETITIONER.**
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE AUTHORITIES.**
- P6: TRUE COPY OF THE ON LINE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :**

-----

**NIL**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**P.A.TO JUDGE.**

Msd.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No. 35982 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 27<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is the holder of passport. The petitioner seeks correction of date of birth in the passport. In the passport date of birth is shown as 18.5.1960. According to the petitioner actual date of birth is 18.5.1965.

2. The issue is covered in the judgment of this Court in Union of India v. Sunil Kumar [2015 (3) KLT 501], this Court held that remedy of persons whose date of birth required to be corrected beyond more than two years is before the civil court.

3. In the light of the above judgment, the petitioner has to seek relief before the civil court. With that liberty the writ petition is disposed of.

sd/-

sab

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

