

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/23RD AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35954 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER :

**P. PRASAD
S/O.P.G.DIVAKARAN, AGED 56 YEARS
POCHAPPALLIL HOUSE, ULLANNOOR MURI
KULANADA VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK
PATHANAMTHITTA.
REP. BY HIS POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
P.SUSEELAN, AGED 59, S/O.P.G.DIVAKARAN, POCHAPPALLIL HOUSE
ULLANNOOR MURI, KULANADA VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK
PATHANAMTHITTA.**

BY ADV. SRI.S.MUHAMMED HANEEF

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. VILLAGE OFFICER
KULANADA VILLAGE, VILLAGE OFFICE, KULANADA
PATHANAMTHITTA-689 503.**
- 2. TAHASILDHAR
TALUK OFFICE, KOZHENCHERRY,
PATHANAMTHITTA-689 641.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
PATHANAMTHITTA-689 645.**

R1 TO R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 14-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 35954 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1- A TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.2870/2009 OF S.R.O., PANDALAM
DT. 19.12.2009 EXECUTED IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER BY ONE
RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI.
- EXT. P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.2636/2010 OF S.R.O, PANDALAM
DT. 04.10.2010 EXECUTED IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER BY ONE
K.A.VINODKUMAR.
- EXT. P3- A TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PORTION OF THE ENCUMBRANCE
CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT FOR THE
PERIOD 01.01.1993 TO 4.11.2009 IN RESPECT OF EXT.P1.
- EXT. P4- A TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PORTION OF THE ENCUMBRANCE
CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT FOR THE
PERIOD 01.01.1993 TO 4.11.2009 IN RESPECT OF EXT.P2.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

=====

W.P(C)No.35954 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 14th day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner approaches this Court on account of refusal to effect Transfer of Registry in respect of the property covered by Exts.P1 and P2.

2. It appears that, on account of attachment, the revenue officials refused to effect Transfer of Registry.

3. This Court is of the view, notwithstanding any attachment effected in the property, Transfer of Registry shall be effected based on Exts.P1 and P2 in accordance with law. Needful shall be done within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
JUDGE