

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/6TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35904 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

**P.K.KHARIM, SENIOR ASSISTANT
STATE WAREHOUSE, MUTHALAMADA
PALAKKAD DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.V.BOSE
SRI.VINOD MADHAVAN
SMT.NISHA BOSE**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. KERALA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR
WAREHOUSING CORPORATION ROAD
ERNAKULAM, KOCHI - 682 016**
- 2. THE CHAIRMAN, KERALA STATE WAREHOUSING
CORPORATION, WAREHOUSING CORPORATION ROAD
ERNAKULAM, KOCHI - 682 016.**

R BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 27-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

- | | |
|----------------|--|
| EXT.P1 | COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.KSWC/ADMN/DA191/2014-15
DTD.20.5.14 |
| EXT.P2 | COPY OF THE MEMO OF CHARGES DTD. 10.6.14 |
| EXT.P3 | COPY OF THE REPLY DTD. 5.7.14 |
| EXT.P4 | COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.KSWC/ADMN/DA-199/2014-15
DTD.107.14 |
| EXT.P5 | COPY OF THE ENQUIRY REPORT DTD. NIL |
| EXT.P6 | COPY OF THE TRUE COPY OF THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DTD.
1.4.15 |
| EXT.P7 | COPY OF THE REPLY DTD. 20.4.15 |
| EXT.P8 | COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.KSCW/ADMN/DA-199/PKK/2015-
16 DTD. 10.6.15 |
| EXT.P9 | COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.KSWC/EST/T&P/SA/2015-16 DTD.
15.9.15 |
| EXT.P10 | COPY OF THE APPEAL DTD. 16.7.15 FILED BY PETITIONERS |
| EXT.P11 | COPY OF THE LETTER NO.KSWC/EST/PF/PKK/2015-16 DTD. 5.10.15 |
| EXT.P12 | COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 31756/205 |

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: **NIL**

// TRUE COPY //

P A TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.35904 of 2015 - K

=====

Dated this the 27th day of November, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P10 appeal filed before the 2nd respondent. The appeal is filed on 16.07.2015.

2. In such circumstance, the 2nd respondent definitely will have to look at the appeal filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of hearing. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 2nd respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/27/11/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.