

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.K.MOHANAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.D.RAJAN

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(Crl.).No. 536 of 2014 (S)

PETITIONER:

ANEESH K.S. AGED 28 YEARS
S/O.SOMAN, KUNNUMPURATHU HOUSE, RAJAKUMARY P.O.
RAJAKUMARY NORTH UDUMPANCHOLA THALUK
IDUKKI DISTRICT, PIN: 685 619.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.SURAJ KUMAR
SRI.SUNIL J.CHAKKALACKAL
SMT.V.BEENA
SMT.V.DEEPA

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIATE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
IDUKKI DISTRICT.

3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SSANTHANPARA P.O., IDUKKI DISTRICT.

4. MANIKANDAN,
C/O.RAJAMMAL, TAMIL COLONY, E.S.I.PADY
CHIRAPPULI MURI, NEAR KIZHAKKUMBHAGOAM DEVI TEMPLE
PATHALAM, EALOOR, KALAMASSERY P.O.
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

R-R1-R3 BY ADV. K.I.ABDUL RASHEED, ADDL.DIRECTOR GENERAL OF
PROSECUTION

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(Crl.).No. 536 of 2014 (S)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 17/9/2014
EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 16/10/2014.
EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE CALL LIST DATED 9/10/2014.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITSM : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PS TO JUDGE

V.K.MOHANAN & P.D.RAJAN, JJ

.....
WP(Crl) No.536 of 2014

.....
Dated 16th JANUARY, 2015

JUDGMENT

V.K.MOHANAN, J

In pursuance to the earlier proceedings of this court, the wife of the petitioner, Renu aged 23 years, appeared before us along with the petitioner. We interacted with her and she submitted that petitioner is her legally wedded husband and for the last more than one week, she is residing along with the petitioner and she appeared before this court today along with the petitioner. She also stated that she is not under the illegal custody of anybody. The said submission is recorded. As the so-called detenue herself submitted that she is not under the illegal custody of anybody and at present she is residing with the petitioner, learned counsel submitted that she is not pressing this writ petition.

In the result, this writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

V.K.MOHANAN, JUDGE

P.D.RAJAN, JUDGE

lgk